

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,906	07/21/2003	Franciscus Gerardus Johannes Claassen	D/A3211	7673
25453	7590 06/16/2006		EXAM	INER
PATENT DOCUMENTATION CENTER			HOANG, ANN THI	
XEROX COR	PORATION			
100 CLINTON AVE., SOUTH, XEROX SQUARE, 20TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROCHESTER, NY 14644			2836	

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/623,906	CLAASSEN, FRANCISCUS GERARDUS JOHANNES			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ann T. Hoang	2836			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DESCRIBION OF	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON!	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	er election requirement				
اــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
•—	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner _.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/03.		Patent Application (PTO-152)			
0.0-1117						

Application/Control Number: 10/623,906 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. During a telephone conversation with Robert Hutter on Thursday, June 8, 2006, Examiner presented a restriction requirement and a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, Group I being claims 1-15 and Group II being claims 16-20. However, upon further consideration, the restriction will no longer being applied and all of claims 1-20 will be examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 7, and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wright (US 5,479,087).

Regarding claim 1, Wright teaches a power supply (108) accepting a mains voltage (110) as an input and outputting a first predetermined voltage (+12V) from a first terminal and a second predetermined voltage (+5V) from a second terminal, comprising:

a main circuit for deriving the first predetermined voltage (+12V) from the mains voltage (110);

a secondary circuit for deriving the second predetermined voltage (+5V) from the main circuit; and

a preload circuit (169) applying a preload on the main circuit as a result of the secondary circuit going out of control.

See Fig. 3. The main circuit derives the first predetermined voltage (+12V) through a secondary winding (118) of a transformer (T3) and elements (122-138), which include filters and storage components. The secondary circuit derives the second predetermined voltage (+5V) through a secondary winding (120) of the transformer (T3) and elements (132, 142-156), which include filters and storage components. The secondary circuit also derives the second predetermined voltage (+5V) from excess voltage in the main circuit. The preload circuit (169) draws power from the main circuit to the secondary circuit during flyback cycles of the power supply (108), as well as when the main circuit is under little or no load and a maximum load appears in the secondary circuit. See 10:47-56. It is understood that instances during which an extremely heavy or maximum load appears in the secondary circuit would cause the secondary circuit to go out of control and that those instances would thus be characterized as instances during which the secondary circuit is going out of control.

Regarding claim 2, Wright teaches that the main circuit includes a transformer (T3). See Fig. 3.

Regarding claim 3, Wright teaches that the preload circuit (169) includes an output directly to the second terminal. The output of the preload circuit (169) is a source terminal of a transistor (140) practically coupled directly to the second terminal. Fig. 3

Art Unit: 2836

shows a filter inductor (154) between the output of the preload circuit (169) and the second terminal, which the reference says can be ignored. See 8:25-36.

Regarding claim 7, Wright teaches that the preload circuit (169) includes a voltage-controlled current source operatively interposed between the main circuit and the secondary circuit. Since the preload circuit (169) supplies current to the secondary circuit depending on the cycling of power supply (108) as well as the voltages of the first and second terminals, the preload circuit (169) is a voltage-controlled current source. See 10:47-56.

Regarding claim 10, it is understood that the secondary circuit goes out of control as a result of the load on the first terminal being relatively low and a load on the second terminal being relatively high. See above rejection on claim 1.

Regarding claim 11, Wright teaches that the main circuit includes a transformer (T3), and that the secondary circuit derives a second predetermined voltage (+5V) from the transformer (T3) in the main circuit. The secondary circuit derives the second predetermined voltage (+5V) at least through a secondary winding (120) of the transformer (T3) and elements (132, 142-156), which include filters and storage components. See Fig. 3.

Regarding method claims 12-15, the recited method steps would necessarily be performed in the usage of the above mentioned power supply. See above rejections on 1, 7, 10 and 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright (US 5,479,087).

Regarding claim 4, Wright discloses feedback control for the secondary circuit, coupled to the second terminal. See Fig. 3 and 9:22-67. The reference does not disclose that the feedback control for the secondary circuit in Fig. 3 includes a magamp controller.

However, the reference discloses the use of a magamp controller (40) as a prior art method of regulating an output of a power supply. The magamp controller (40) is described as providing "good regulation...to maintain the output voltage within a specified voltage range under most load conditions," but "very costly." See Fig. 1B and 3:10-34. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the feedback control for the secondary circuit of Fig. 3 with the magamp controller of Fig. 1B in order to provide effective regulation of the output voltage, especially in instances where the quality of output voltage regulation offered by a magamp controller was a priority over cost effectiveness.

Regarding claim 5, Wright discloses that the preload circuit (169) includes an output directly to the second terminal. See Fig. 3 and 8:25-36. Since the magamp

Page 6

Art Unit: 2836

controller (40) would be coupled to the second terminal when replacing the feedback control for the secondary circuit of Fig. 3, as discussed above, the output of the preload circuit (169) would be directly coupled to the second terminal and an input from the magamp controller.

Regarding claim 6, this claim is rejected under the same reasoning as that of claim 4. See above rejection on claim 4. The magamp controller would serve as a post regulator circuit to the output of the secondary circuit of power supply (108).

Regarding claim 8, Wright discloses the voltage-controlled current source including a transistor (140) having a base, the base of the transistor (140) being controlled by a transistor (160), which is associated with a storage inductor (130) on the first terminal, which is controlled by a storage inductor (132) on the second terminal. See Fig. 3 and 8:14-49. Thus, the base of the transistor (140) is associated with the second terminal and would be associated with the magamp controller coupled to the second terminal, as discussed above. See above rejection on claim 5.

Regarding claim 9, Wright does not disclose a zener diode operatively disposed at the base of the transistor (140) of Fig. 3.

However, the references discloses a zener diode (96) operatively disposed between the base of a transistor (82) and a controller (70) of the transistor (82) in an alternative embodiment of the power supply. See Fig. 2 and 6:32-38. The zener diode (96) protects the transistor (82), which operates similarly to the transistor (140) of Fig. 3, by limiting the voltage at its gate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to operatively dispose the zener diode of Fig. 2

between the base of the transistor of Fig. 3 and the magamp controller of the post regulated circuit in order to protect the transistor by limiting the voltage at its gate.

6. Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright (US 5,479,087) in view of Chapman et al. (US 6,370,354).

Regarding claim 16, Wright discloses a power supply (108) as recited in claim 1 of the present application. See above rejection on claim 1. The power supply (108) is disclosed as being part of a computer system, not a printing apparatus.

However, Chapman et al. discloses a printing apparatus (10) comprising a motor and a printhead (20). See Fig. 1, 6:46-48, and 9:38. The reference also discloses a power supply (312) of the printing apparatus (10) having multiple output terminals at different voltage levels for providing power to various parts of the printing apparatus (10). See Fig. 11 and 17:37-42. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the power supply (108) of Wright in the printing apparatus (10) of Chapman et al., powering the motor with one terminal of power supply and powering the printhead with the other terminal, in order to provide a highly efficient power regulation circuit for the printing apparatus.

Regarding claims 17-20, claims 17-20 correspond to claims 6, 7, 10 and 11 and are therefore rejected under the same reasoning as that of those claims. See above rejections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann T. Hoang, whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 10/623,906 Page 8

Art Unit: 2836

2724. The examiner can normally be reached Mondays through Fridays, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached at 571-272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ATH 9 June 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2009